
CLIENT & VERIFICATION BODY (ISO 14063-3:2019, 6.3.3 a-b, h)

Client

TSMC Washington, LLC
5509 NW Parker St., Camas, WA, 98607, US

Bryan Mirick
+1 (360) 817-3131
bmirick@tsmc.com

Verification Body

Advanced Waste Management Systems, Inc.
6430 Hixson Pike, Hixson, TN, 37343, US

Rob Ellis
+1 (423) 843-2206
robellis@awm.net

Assurance Reasonable assurance - where the nature and extent of the verification activities have been designed to provide a high but not absolute level of assurance on historical data and information (ISO 14064-3:2019, 3.6.6)

Audit Type Full

SUBJECT (ISO 14063-3:2019, 6.3.3 c-d)

AWM has verified the GHG emissions in the responsible party's GHG statement for the period of 1/1/2024 to 12/31/2024, which comprise the following:

- Scope 1: Process & Stationary Equipment
- Scope 2: Electricity
- Scope 3: Upstream & Downstream
- Carbon Offsets for Scope 1
- RECs for Scope 2

Responsibilities of the client

The responsible party is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the GHG statement in accordance with the criteria specified in this report. This includes designing, implementing and maintaining a data management system relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of a GHG statement that is free from material misstatement.

Responsibilities of AWM

AWM's responsibility is to express an opinion on the GHG inventory based on our verification. AWM conducted our verification in accordance with ISO 14064-3. This requires that we comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the verification to obtain the agreed upon level-of-assurance that the GHG emissions in the GHG statement are free from material misstatement.

EVIDENCE GATHERING PROCEDURES (ISO 14063-3:2019, 6.3.3 e)

Strategic analysis

AWM identified a risk due to an increase in assurance level from limited (previous years) to reasonable. No additional material risks were identified from items such as the verification sector, scope of the client and the verification, or significant changes in the client.

Risk assessment

AWM did not identify any material risk to the objectives of this verification as a result of the client's GHG management system or data collection systems.

Sample Selection Criteria

For Scope 1 process, AWM sampled all activities/gases meeting either of the following criteria: 1) $\geq 20\%$ of total S1-process tCO₂e; 2) $\geq 25\%$ change (YoY) with $\geq 5\%$ of total S1-process tCO₂e. For Scope 1 stationary, AWM sampled all activities meeting either of the following criteria: 1) $\geq 20\%$ of total S1-stationary tCO₂e; 2) $\geq 25\%$ change (YoY) with $\geq 5\%$ of total S1-stationary tCO₂e. Scopes 2 and 3, along with RECs + Carbon offsets were all sampled at 100%.

Recalculation results
Scope 1

Category	Activity	AWM	Client	Difference	% Diff	% Material	Sample %	
Stationary Comb	Natural Gas	14,387.69	14,660.10	-272.41	-1.86%	-0.50%	27.03%	
Process	C2F6	4,425.45	4,425.60	-0.15	0.00%	0.00%	8.16%	
Process	CF4	9,960.46	9,960.20	0.26	0.00%	0.00%	18.36%	
Process	CHF3	3,987.64	3,987.80	-0.16	0.00%	0.00%	7.35%	
Process	N2O	3,246.55	3,246.50	0.05	0.00%	0.00%	5.99%	
Process	NF3	2,154.79	2,154.20	0.59	0.03%	0.00%	3.97%	
Process	SF6	6,065.42	6,064.47	0.95	0.02%	0.00%	11.18%	
Process	HTF	4,911.59	4,911.70	-0.11	0.00%	0.00%	9.06%	
Total		49,139.61	49,410.57	-270.96	-0.55%	-0.50%	91.10%	
						Normalized:	-0.55%	100.00%

Scope 2 - Location

Activity	AWM	Client	Difference	% Diff	% Material	Sample %
Purchased Electricity	43,220.77	43,261.88	-41.11	-0.10%	-0.10%	100.00%

Scope 3

Activity	AWM	Client	Difference	% Diff	% Material	Sample %
Employee Commuting	677.56	657.15	20.41	3.11%	0.06%	1.83%
Work Related Travel	70.17	70.70	-0.53	-0.75%	0.00%	0.20%
Waste & Wastewater	606.64	606.64	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	1.69%
Downstream Transportation & Distribution	11.49	10.83	0.66	6.14%	0.00%	0.03%
Purchased Goods & Services	34,590.40	34,590.40	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	96.26%
Total		35,956.27	35,935.72	20.55	0.06%	100.00%

RECs & Offsets

Activity	AWM (Credits)	Client (MT CO2e)	Difference	Sample %
S1 - Carbon Offsets retired exceed the Client Scope 1 emissions.	54,239.00	54,238.76	0.24	100.0%
S2 - RECs retired exceed the Client Scope 2 emissions.	44,956.41	43,261.88	1,694.53	100.0%

Description of the verification work

AWM conducted the risk analysis and data request periodically during March 7-28, 2025. AWM verified completeness of the inventory / emissions and data sources during the on-site ISO 14001 surveillance audit EA72 on December 1-5, 2024. This included verifying: natural gas meter ID's against invoices for Scope 1 - stationary equipment, Scope 1 - process emissions sources, purchasing data, abatement monitoring, Scope 2 - electricity meters, etc.

Independent recalculations were performed as follows:

- Scope 1 - Stationary: April 2, 2025, using natural gas invoices from utility provider;
- Scope 1 - Process: April 10, 2025, using purchasing, usage and heel data with EPA, Subpart I methodology;
- Scope 2 - Location: March 31, 2025, using electricity invoices from utility provider;
- Scope 3 - Categories 1, 5, 6, 7 & 9: March 31, 2025, using a combination of data from WA state DOT CTR survey, TSMC WA HR, TSMC Corporate, and a product carbon footprint inventory management report;
- RECs + Carbon offsets: March 31, 2025, using retired RECs and verified carbon credits;

ISO 14064-1 checklist completion and ICAL requests were conducted periodically during April 1 - 11, 2025, based on the GHG Management Plan, interviews and evidence provided.

VERIFICATION OPINION (ISO 14063-3:2019, 6.3.3 f)

AWM has determined that the client's emissions report for the year of 2024 may be Verified without modification (Unmodified).

Discrepancies found during this verification total less than the materiality threshold of 5% each for Scope 1, Scope 2 and Scope 3.

VERIFICATION TEAM AND OBSERVERS

Team Leader	Andrew Machalick	QC Reviewer	Jonathan Clark
Team	n/a	IPR	Richard Ellis
Observer	n/a		

SUMMARY GHG STATEMENT (ISO 14063-3:2019, 6.3.3 j)

Scopes 1-2 Reference: TSMC WA EY2024 Scope 1 Emissions Summary (EPA)v.2

Scope 3 Reference: TSMC WA 2024 Scope 3 Emissions Determinations

Emission Type	MT CO2e
Total Scope 1	54,238.76
Total Carbon Offsets	-54,239.00
Total Scope 2 Location	43,261.88
Total RECs	-43,261.88
Total Scope 3	35,935.72

CRITERIA (ISO 14063-3:2019, 6.3.3 k)

Criteria for this verification were:

- The Climate Registry
 - General Reporting Protocol (v3.0, May 2019)
 - General Verification Protocol (v2.1, June 2014)
 - GVP Updates and Clarifications (October 2019)
- ISO 14064-1:2018 Part 1: Greenhouse gases — Specification with guidance at the organization level for quantification and reporting of greenhouse gas emissions and removals
- Ontario Regulation 390/18 Greenhouse Gas Emissions: Quantification, Reporting, and Verification
- the client's GHG management system

VERIFICATION SCOPE (ISO 14063-3:2019, 6.3.3 I)

Boundaries (ISO 14043-3:2019, 5.1.6.a)

TSMC Washington (TSMC FAB 11) is a wholly owned Subsidiary of Taiwan Semiconductor (TSMC), located at 5509 NW Parker St. Camas, WA. Operational boundaries are the property boundaries and include the onsite Gas Yard.

Facilities, physical infrastructure, activities, technologies, and processes (ISO 14043-3:2019, 5.1.6.b):

TSMC Washington manufactures integrated circuits (ICs) for customers. No research or design is performed at this facility. TSMC Washington is a 200mm fab, with a diverse portfolio of semiconductor processing technologies. Customers products include computers, cellular phones, computer game consoles, telephone switches, MP3 players, DVD players, cameras and automotive.

GHG sinks, sources, and reservoirs (ISO 14043-3:2019, 5.1.6.c)

Fluorinated GHGs and N₂O purchased for the semiconductor manufacturing production process, byproducts from these gases during the production process, fluorinated GHGs emitted from heat transfer fluid use and CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O combustion emissions from stationary equipment.

Types of GHGs (ISO 14043-3:2019, 5.1.6.d)

CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, HFCs, PFCs, SF₆, NF₃, HTFs

Time period (ISO 14043-3:2019, 5.1.6.e)

January 1 - December 31, 2024

USE OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY (ICT)

Remote audit

An online video conferencing system (e.g., Google Meet) was utilized to conduct the remote audit, including displaying documents and records, conducting interviews, and conducting site tours as necessary.

On-site audit

As was necessary, ICT methods used during this audit included remote meetings by means of tele/video conferencing, as well as electronic review of documents and records.

It is the opinion of the audit team that the use of ICT was effective in achieving the verification objectives.

APPROVAL (ISO 14063-3:2019, 6.3.3 g, i)



Lead Verifier

May 07 2025

Date

5 GHG inventory boundaries

5.1 Organizational boundaries	NC	Auditor Notes
The organization shall define its organizational boundaries.	<input type="checkbox"/>	TSMC Washington has defined its organizational boundaries within Section 6.4 of the TSMC WA GREEN HOUSE GAS MANAGEMENT PLAN C.I. (A-RMS-02-03-013, Version 19).
The organization may comprise one or more facilities. Facility-level GHG emissions or removals may be produced from one or more GHG sources or sinks.	<input type="checkbox"/>	TSMC Washington reports as a single facility with multiple GHG sources within the site boundary.
The organization shall consolidate its facility-level GHG emissions and removals by one of the following approaches:	-	-
control: the organization accounts for all GHG emissions and/or	<input type="checkbox"/>	TSMC Washington consolidates its facility-level GHG emissions by the operational control approach.
a) removals from facilities over which it has financial or operational control;	<input type="checkbox"/>	
b) equity share: the organization accounts for its portion of GHG emissions and/or removals from respective facilities.	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
The consolidation approach shall be consistent with the intended use of the GHG inventory.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Use of the operational control approach is consistent with the use of the inventory (emissions the site is responsible for).
The organization may use different consolidation approaches in the case of multiple reporting goals and requirements defined, for example, by the GHG programme, legal contract or different types of intended users.	<input type="checkbox"/>	TSMC Washington is not using different control approaches.
When a facility is owned or controlled by several organizations, these organizations should adopt the same consolidation approach for that facility. The organization shall document and report which consolidation approach it applies.	<input type="checkbox"/>	TSMC Washington is wholly owned by TSMC.
0		

5.2 Reporting boundaries	NC	Auditor Notes
<i>5.2.1 Establishing reporting boundaries</i>		
The organization shall establish and document its reporting boundaries, including the identification of direct and indirect GHG emissions and removals associated with the organization's operations.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Operational boundaries are defined in section 6.4, including a list of exclusions (Other TSMC Sites, offsite chemical storage, mobile sources).
<i>5.2.2 Direct GHG emissions and removals</i>		
The organization shall quantify direct GHG emissions separately for CO ₂ , CH ₄ , N ₂ O, NF ₃ , SF ₆ and other appropriate GHG groups (HFCs, PFCs, etc.) in tonnes of CO ₂ e.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 6.5 of the GHGMP describes the direct GHG emissions and removals. Emissions are quantified separately for each GHG group in MT CO ₂ e (e.g. process gases, stationary equipment, etc.).
The organization should quantify GHG removals.	<input type="checkbox"/>	No removals are quantified (although carbon credits have been purchased as offsets).
<i>5.2.3 Indirect GHG emissions</i>		
The organization shall apply and document a process to determine which indirect emissions to include in its GHG inventory.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 6.6 describes the indirect GHG emissions as imported electricity.
As part of this process, the organization shall define and explain its own pre-determined criteria for significance of indirect emissions, considering the intended use of the GHG inventory.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 6.6 defines the indirect GHG emissions selected to be included in the inventory (electricity).
Whatever the intended use is, criteria should not be used to exclude substantial quantities of indirect emissions or evade compliance obligations.	<input type="checkbox"/>	No substantial quantities of indirect emissions are excluded, as confirmed during site visit.
Using those criteria, the organization shall identify and evaluate its indirect GHG emissions, to select the significant ones.	<input type="checkbox"/>	As stated, electricity has been selected as the indirect emission source included.
The organization shall quantify and report these significant emissions. Exclusions of significant indirect emissions shall be justified.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Emissions from electric consumption are included in the 2024 inventory.
The criteria to evaluate significance may include the magnitude/volume of the emissions, level of influence on sources/sinks, access to information and the level of accuracy of associated data (complexity of organization and monitoring). A risk assessment or other procedures (e.g. buyer requirements, regulatory requirements, concern of interested parties, scale of operation, etc.) may be used (see ISO 13065). More guidance is provided in Annex H.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Electric consumption is the only significant contributor to indirect emissions.
The criteria for evaluating the significance may be periodically revised. The organization should retain documented information about the revisions.	<input type="checkbox"/>	The GHGMP is reviewed annually.

5.2.4 GHG inventory categories		
GHG emissions shall be aggregated into the following categories at the organizational level:		The 2024 GHG inventory continues to aggregate emissions into categories, including:
a) direct GHG emissions and removals;	<input type="checkbox"/>	direct GHG emissions (process, stationary)
indirect GHG emissions from imported energy;		
indirect GHG emissions from transportation;		
b) indirect GHG emissions from products used by organization;	<input type="checkbox"/>	indirect GHG emissions from imported energy (electricity)
indirect GHG emissions associated with the use of products from the organization;		
indirect GHG emissions from other sources.		
c) indirect GHG emissions from transportation;	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
d) indirect GHG emissions from products used by organization;	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
e) indirect GHG emissions associated with the use of products from the organization;	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
f) indirect GHG emissions from other sources.	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
In each category, non-biogenic emissions, biogenic anthropogenic emissions and, if quantified and reported, biogenic non-anthropogenic emissions shall be separated (see Annex D).	<input type="checkbox"/>	No biogenic emissions.
The organization should document the above categories separately at the facility level.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Categories are listed as separate line items in the inventory.
GHG emissions should be further subdivided into subcategories consistent with the above categories. An example of subcategories is provided in Annex B.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Process emissions (direct) are further broken down by gas type (CF4, C2F6, CHF3, N2O, SF6, C4F8, NF3, C3F8, CH2F2, CH3, CO2, f-HTFs).
0		

6 Quantification of GHG emissions and removals

6.1 Identification of GHG sources and sinks	NC	Auditor
The organization shall identify and document all relevant GHG sources and sinks included in its reporting boundaries. The organization shall include all relevant GHGs.	<input type="checkbox"/>	GHG emissions and removals are recorded in section 6 of the GHGMP.
GHG sources and sinks shall be identified in accordance with the categories defined in 5.2.4.	<input type="checkbox"/>	AWM confirmed throughout this verification that GHG sources have been identified in accordance with the categories defined in 5.2.4.
If the organization quantifies GHG removals, the organization shall identify and document GHG sinks contributing to its GHG removals.	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
The detail with which sources and sinks are identified and categorized shall be consistent with the quantification approach used.	<input type="checkbox"/>	AWM confirmed throughout this verification that the detail with which the sources and sinks are identified is consistent with the quantification approach.
The organization may exclude GHG sources or sinks for which the contribution to GHG emissions or removals is not relevant. It shall identify and explain why the GHG sources or sinks are excluded in accordance with the categories and any categorical subdivisions included in the report (see 5.2.3).	<input type="checkbox"/>	No mobile sources are included in the TSMC WA GHG inventory as described in section 6.6.1 of the GHGMS (not reportable to EPA).
0		

6.2 Selection of quantification approach	NC	Auditor
6.2.1 General		
The organization shall select and use quantification methodologies that minimize uncertainty and yield accurate, consistent and reproducible results.	<input type="checkbox"/>	AWM confirmed via recalculation that TSMC Washington is using quantification methodologies that minimize uncertainty and yield accurate, consistent, and reproducible results. In addition, section 6.8 of the GHGMP addresses TSMC Washington's assessment of uncertainty per input, data source, and estimated risk (e.g. Purchasing data for C4F8, CF4, C2F6, CH2F2, CHF3, N2O & SF6 cylinders is <1% estimated risk).
The quantification approach should also consider technical feasibility and cost.	<input type="checkbox"/>	These methodologies consider technical feasibility and cost (not relevant).
The organization shall explain and document its quantification approach and any changes in quantification approach.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 6.7 of the GHGMS explains and documents TSMC Washington's quantification approach.
6.2.2 Data selection and collection used for quantification		
The organization shall identify and document its data for each source or sink classified as direct or indirect emissions and removals. It shall determine and document the characteristics for each relevant data used for quantification (see 5.2.3).	<input type="checkbox"/>	- Section 6.7.1 documents the data source for each input. For example, purchasing records are used for production/process GHGs, monthly natural gas invoices are provided by NW Natural Gas, etc.
Annex C provides guidance on the selection and collection of data used for quantification.	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a.

6.2.3 Selection or development of GHG quantification model		
Except in the case of measurement of emissions and removals, the organization shall select or develop models for the quantification approach.	<input type="checkbox"/>	TSMC Washington is using direct measurement for emissions and removal (input gases).
A model is a representation of how the source or sink data used for quantification are converted into emissions or removals. A model is a simplification of physical processes that has assumptions and limitations.	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
The organization shall explain and document the justification for the selection or development of the model, considering the following model characteristics:	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
a) how the model accurately represents the emissions and removals;	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
b) its limits of application;	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
c) its uncertainty and rigour;	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
d) the reproducibility of results;	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
e) the acceptability of the model;	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
f) the origin and level of recognition of the model;	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
g) the consistency with the intended use.	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
0		

6.3 Calculation of GHG emissions and removals	NC	Auditor
The organization shall calculate GHG emissions and removals in accordance with the quantification approach selected (see 6.2).	<input type="checkbox"/>	Attachment 15 records TSMC Washington's 2024 calculations in accordance with the quantification approach selected (copy of report received and reviewed by AWM).
The period for which GHG emissions and removals have been calculated shall be reported.	<input type="checkbox"/>	TSMC Washington reports emissions annually (calendar year).
The organization shall convert the quantity of each type of GHG to tonnes of CO2e using appropriate GWPs.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Attachment 15 (received separately as GHG report) references TSMC Washington's inventory in tonnes of CO2e. AWM verified appropriate GWPs were used.
The latest IPCC's GWP should be used. If not, justification shall be provided. The GWP time horizon shall be 100 years. Other GWP time horizons may be used, but reported separately.	<input type="checkbox"/>	See above.
The organization shall quantify biogenic emissions or removals in accordance with Annex D.	<input type="checkbox"/>	No biogenic emissions reported for RY2024.
The organization shall quantify emissions or removals from imported electricity that is consumed by the organization, and of exported electricity generated by the organization, in accordance with Annex E.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Electricity consumption was quantified in the RY2024 inventory, and there was no electricity exported from generation.
0		

6.4 Base-year GHG inventory	NC	Auditor
6.4.1 Selection and establishment of base year		
The organization shall establish a historical base year for GHG emissions and removals for comparative purposes or to meet GHG programme requirements or other intended uses of the GHG inventory.	<input type="checkbox"/>	TSMC Washington has selected 2011 as the baseline year (as stated in section 1.1 of the GHGMS).
Base-year emissions or removals may be quantified based on a specific period (e.g. a year or part of a year where seasonality is a feature of the organization's activity) or averaged from several periods (e.g. several years).	<input type="checkbox"/>	This baseline year is quantified on the same calendar year basis as all other inventory years.
If sufficient information on historical GHG emissions or removals is not available, the organization may use its first GHG inventory period as the base year.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reporting year 2011 was the first year reported under the ISO 14064-1 GHGMS.
In establishing the base year, the organization:		Section 6.10 of the GHGMP records TSMC Washington's decision on selecting 2011 as the base-year inventory, in addition to criteria which may trigger a new baseline. There has been no change to baseline.
a) shall quantify base-year GHG emissions and removals using data representative of the organization's current reporting boundary, typically single-year data, a consecutive multi-year average or a rolling average;	<input type="checkbox"/>	See above.
b) shall select a base year for which verifiable GHG emissions or removals data are available;	<input type="checkbox"/>	See above.
c) shall explain the selection of the base year;	<input type="checkbox"/>	See above.
d) shall develop a GHG inventory for the base year consistent with the provisions of this document.	<input type="checkbox"/>	See above.
The organization may change its base year, but shall justify any change to the base year.	<input type="checkbox"/>	See above.

6.4.2 Review of base-year GHG inventory		
To ensure the representativeness of the base-year GHG inventory, the organization shall develop, document and apply a base-year review and recalculation procedure to account for substantial cumulative changes in base-year emissions resulting from:		As stated in 6.10.2 of the GHGMP, TSMC Washington has established a set of criteria prompting recalculation of the base-line inventory (e.g. EPA revised GHG reporting regulations require changed reporting for 2011 GHG emissions).
a) a structural change in reporting or organizational boundaries (i.e. merger, acquisition or divestiture), or	<input type="checkbox"/>	See above.
b) a change in calculation methodologies or emission factors, or	<input type="checkbox"/>	See above.
c) the discovery of an error or a number of cumulative errors that are collectively substantial.	<input type="checkbox"/>	See above.
The organization shall not recalculate its base-year GHG inventory to account for changes in facility production levels, including the closing or opening of facilities.	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
The organization shall document base-year recalculations in subsequent GHG inventories.	<input type="checkbox"/>	RY2011 baseline calculations are included in the GHGMP as Attachment 1.
0		

7 Mitigation activities

7.1 GHG emission reduction and removal enhancement initiatives	NC	Auditor
The organization may plan and implement GHG reduction initiatives to reduce or prevent GHG emissions or enhance GHG removals.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reductions are described in Section 6.9.6 of the GHGMP - GHG Emission Reductions and Removal Actions.
If implemented, the organization should quantify GHG emission or removal differences attributable to the implementation of GHG reduction initiatives.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Example: In 2024, TSMC Washington converted several chillers from using a high GWP f-HTF to Opteon SF10, which has a GWP ranging from 1-2.5 depending on methodology used to determine emissions.
If quantified and reported, the organization shall document GHG reduction initiatives and associated GHG emission or removal differences separately, and shall describe:	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reductions are described in Section 6.9.6.
a) the GHG reduction initiatives;	<input type="checkbox"/>	see above.
b) the spatial and temporal boundaries of the GHG reduction initiatives;	<input type="checkbox"/>	see above.
c) the approach (appropriate indicators) used to quantify GHG emission or removal differences;	<input type="checkbox"/>	see above.
d) the determination and classification of GHG emission or removal differences attributable to GHG reduction initiatives as direct or indirect GHG emissions or removals.	<input type="checkbox"/>	see above.
0		

7.2 GHG emission reduction or removal enhancement projects	NC	Auditor
If the organization reports offsets purchased or developed, the organization shall list such offsets separately from GHG reduction initiatives.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Per GHGMP section 6.9.6.10 - In 2020-2024 TSMC Washington purchased Green-e Renewable Energy Certificates for 100% of their energy usage (Scope 2). Also, 6.9.6.11 - In 2022-2024, TSMC Washington purchased Carbon Offsets to offset 100% of its direct emissions (Scope 1).
0		

7.3 GHG emission reduction or removal enhancement targets	NC	Auditor
The organization may set targets to reduce GHG emissions.	<input type="checkbox"/>	No GHG reduction targets have been set for RY2024.
If the organization reports a target, the following information shall be specified and reported:	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
— period covered by the target, including the target reference year and the target completion year;	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
— type of target (intensity or absolute);	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
— category of emissions included in the target;	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
— the amount of reduction and its unit expressed in accordance with the type of target. For setting the target, the following criteria should be considered:	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
— climate science;	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
— reduction potential;	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
— international, national context;	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
— sectorial context (e.g. voluntary sectorial commitment, cross-sectorial effect).	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
0		

8 GHG inventory quality management

8.1 GHG information management	NC	Auditor
8.1.1 The organization shall establish and maintain GHG information management procedures that:		See below:
a) ensure conformity with the principles of this document;	<input type="checkbox"/>	The GHGMP overall contains these procedures, specifically Section 6.11 GHG Information Management, and the TSMC WA EMS Records Management O.I.
b) ensure consistency with the intended use of the GHG inventory;	<input type="checkbox"/>	see above
c) provide routine and consistent checks to ensure accuracy and completeness of the GHG inventory;	<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 6.12 Auditing & Verification, Section 6.13 Management Review, and Section 6.14 Corrective Action define these checks.
d) identify and address errors and omissions;	<input type="checkbox"/>	see above
e) document and archive relevant GHG inventory records, including information management activities and GWPs.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 6.11.12 Records Management and Reducing Uncertainty contains information on retention of records, which were available as requested during the re-calculation.
8.1.2 The organization's GHG information management procedures shall document their consideration of the following:		See below:
a) identification and review of the responsibility and authority of those responsible for GHG inventory development;	<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 6.11.3.3 states the The EH&S Manager is responsible for the final review and approval for the GHG emission reports.
b) identification, implementation and review of appropriate training for members of the inventory development team;	<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 6.11.3 covers formal and informal training for identified roles in preparing the report
c) identification and review of organizational boundaries;	<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 6.12 states that the GHGMP is internally audited annually.
d) identification and review of GHG sources and sinks;	<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 6.12 states that the GHGMP is internally audited annually.
e) selection and review of quantification approaches, including data used for quantification and GHG quantification models that are consistent with the intended use of the GHG inventory;	<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 6.12 states that the GHGMP is internally audited annually.
f) review of the application of quantification approaches to ensure consistency across multiple facilities;	<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 6.12 states that the GHGMP is internally audited annually.
g) use, maintenance and calibration of measurement equipment (if applicable);	<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 6.9 Data Management contains information on collection of data
h) development and maintenance of a robust data-collection system;	<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 6.9 Data Management contains information on collection of data
i) regular accuracy checks;	<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 6.12 states that the GHGMP is internally audited annually.
j) periodic internal audits and technical reviews;	<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 6.12 states that the GHGMP is internally audited annually.
k) periodic review of opportunities to improve information management processes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 6.13 states that the IMP will be reviewed by senior management annually.
0		

8.2 Document retention and record keeping	NC	Auditor
The organization shall establish and maintain procedures for document retention and record keeping.	<input type="checkbox"/>	TSMC Washington references A-RMS-08-03-156 FAB11 EMS RECORDS MANAGEMENT O.I. for document and records management.
The organization shall retain and maintain documentation supporting the design, development and maintenance of the GHG inventory to enable verification. The documentation, whether in paper, electronic or other format, shall be handled in accordance with the organization's GHG information management procedures for document retention and record keeping.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 6.9 Data Management lays out processes for maintaining information on the GHG inventory. Per verification activities, all required documentation was available to complete re-calculations.
0		

8.3 Assessing uncertainty	NC	Auditor
The organization shall assess the uncertainty associated with the quantification approaches (e.g. data used for quantification and models) and conduct an assessment that determines the uncertainty at the GHG inventory category level.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Uncertainty is assessed in Section 6.8 Assessment of Uncertainty.
Where quantitative estimation of uncertainty is not possible or cost effective, it shall be justified and a qualitative assessment shall be conducted.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Quantitative estimates are available for each, ranging from <1% (purchasing records) to 1% (diesel usage and Fab process GHG usage model for CVD & Etch).
The organization may apply the principles and methodologies of ISO/IEC Guide 98-3 in completing the uncertainty assessment.	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a
0		

9 GHG reporting

9.1 General	NC	Auditor
The organization should prepare a GHG report, consistent with the intended uses of the GHG inventory, to facilitate GHG inventory verification. For example, a GHG report may be necessary for participation in a GHG programme or to inform external or internal users.	<input type="checkbox"/>	TSMC Washington has prepared a report in accordance with procedures and included as Attachment 15.
A GHG report shall be prepared if the organization chooses to have its GHG inventory verified or makes a public GHG statement claiming conformity with this document.	<input type="checkbox"/>	see above.
GHG reports shall be complete, consistent, accurate, relevant, transparent and planned in accordance with 9.2.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Per review of the program and verification of the data, the GHG report was complete and accurate.
If the organization's GHG statement has been independently (third-party) verified, the verification statement shall be made available to intended users.	<input type="checkbox"/>	TSMC Washington provides the verification statement to the intended user of TSMC.
If confidential data are withheld from inclusion in a GHG report, this shall be justified. If the organization decides to prepare a GHG report, 9.2 and 9.3 apply.	<input type="checkbox"/>	No confidential data is withheld from the report.
0		

9.2 Planning the GHG report	NC	Auditor
The organization shall explain and document the following in planning its GHG report:		See below:
purpose and objectives of the report in the context of the	<input type="checkbox"/>	
a) organization's GHG policies, strategies or programmes, and applicable GHG programmes;	<input type="checkbox"/>	GHGMP purpose and objectives are included in Section 1.
b) intended use and intended users of the GHG inventory;	<input type="checkbox"/>	GHGMP intended use and users are documented in Section 6.15 Reporting of GHG (US EPA, WA State Department of Ecology, and TSMC).
c) overall and specific responsibilities for preparing and producing the report;	<input type="checkbox"/>	The responsibilities preparing and producing the report are assigned to an EH&S Engineer, per GHGMP Section 6.15.3.
d) frequency of the report;	<input type="checkbox"/>	GHGMP Section 6.15 defines this as annually.
e) report structure and format;	<input type="checkbox"/>	GHGMP Section 6.15.3 defines the structure and format: 6.15.3.1 Direct emissions, quantified separately by GHG and by-product, 6.15.3.2 Heat transfer fluid emissions, 6.15.3.3 Stationary (combustion) equipment emissions, 6.15.3.4 Indirect GHG emissions from electricity, 6.15.3.5 Explanations of any change to the base year or other historical GHG data.
f) data and information to be included in the report;	<input type="checkbox"/>	see above
g) policy on availability and methods of dissemination of the report.	<input type="checkbox"/>	see above
0		

9.3 GHG report content	NC	Auditor
9.3.1 Required information		
The organization's GHG report shall describe the organization's GHG inventory. Its content may be structured as recommended in Annex F.	<input type="checkbox"/>	see below
GHG report content shall include the following:		see below
a) description of the reporting organization;	<input type="checkbox"/>	Included in section 2 Scope.
b) person or entity responsible for the report;	<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 6.11.3.3 - The EH&S Manager is responsible for the final review and approval for the GHG emission reports.
c) reporting period covered;	<input type="checkbox"/>	2024 - Attachment 15
d) documentation of organizational boundaries (5.1);	<input type="checkbox"/>	Included in section 2 Scope.
e) documentation of reporting boundaries, including criteria determined by the organization to define significant emissions;	<input type="checkbox"/>	Included in section 2 Scope, Section 6.4 Operational Boundaries.
direct GHG emissions, quantified separately for CO2, CH4, N2O,		
f) NF3, SF6 and other appropriate GHG groups (HFCs, PFCs, etc.) in tonnes of CO2e (5.2.2);	<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 6.7 contains mentions of all of these - confirmed through report review.
a description of how biogenic CO2 emissions and removals are treated in the GHG inventory and the relevant biogenic CO2		
g) emissions and removals quantified separately in tonnes of CO2e (see Annex D);	<input type="checkbox"/>	No biogenic sources or removals.

h) if quantified, direct GHG removals, in tonnes of CO ₂ e (5.2.2);		No removals.
i) explanation of the exclusion of any significant GHG sources or sinks from the quantification (5.2.3);		No significant sources were excluded.
j) quantified indirect GHG emissions separated by category in tonnes of CO ₂ e (5.2.4);		Recorded in Attachment 15.
k) the historical base year selected and the base-year GHG inventory (6.4.1);		The base-year selection of 2011 is defined in Section 6.10.
l) explanation of any change to the base year or other historical GHG data or categorization and any recalculation of the base year or other historical GHG inventory (6.4.1), and documentation of any limitations to comparability resulting from such recalculation;		No changes have been made to the base year.
m) reference to, or description of, quantification approaches, including reasons for their selection (6.2);		Section 6.7 Quantification of GHG Emissions & Removals defines these approaches.
n) explanation of any change to quantification approaches previously used (6.2);		No changes have been made to the quantification approaches for RY2024.
o) reference to, or documentation of, GHG emission or removal factors used (6.2);		No removals are made.
p) description of the impact of uncertainties on the accuracy of the GHG emissions and removals data per category (8.3);		Uncertainties are detailed in Section 6.8 Assessment of Uncertainty.
q) uncertainty assessment description and results (8.3);		Uncertainties are detailed in Section 6.8 Assessment of Uncertainty.
r) a statement that the GHG report has been prepared in accordance with this document;		Section 1 Purpose lists ISO 14064-1 as a criteria for reporting.
s) a disclosure describing whether the GHG inventory, report or statement has been verified, including the type of verification and level of assurance achieved;		n/a - per this report.
t) the GWP values used in the calculation, as well as their source. If the GWP values are not taken from the latest IPCC report, include the emissions factors or the database reference used in the calculation, as well as their source.		GWPs are included in Attachment 15.

9.3.2 Recommended information

The organization should consider including in the GHG report:

Considerations were made to include recommended information where applicable. For example, EHS policy is include in Section 6.2 Environmental, Health and Safety Policy.

a) description of the organization's GHG policies, strategies or programmes;		See above.
b) if appropriate, description of GHG reduction initiatives and how they contribute to GHG emission or removal differences, including those occurring outside organizational boundaries, quantified in tonnes of CO ₂ e (7.1);		See above.
c) if appropriate, purchased or developed GHG emission reductions and removal enhancements from GHG emission reduction and removal enhancement projects, quantified in tonnes of CO ₂ e (7.2);		See above.
d) as appropriate, description of applicable GHG programme requirements;		See above.
e) GHG emissions or removals disaggregated by the facility;		See above.
f) total quantified indirect GHG emissions;		See above.
g) description and presentation of additional indicators, such as efficiency or GHG emission intensity (emissions per unit of production) ratios;		See above.
h) assessment of performance against appropriate internal and/or external benchmarks;		See above.
i) description of GHG information management and monitoring procedures (8.1);		See above.
j) GHG emissions and removals from the previous reporting period;		See above.
k) if appropriate, explanation of GHG emissions differences between the present inventory and the previous one.		See above.

The organization may aggregate direct emissions and direct removals.

n/a

9.3.3 Optional information and associated requirements

The organization may report optional information separately from the required information and the recommended information. Each type of optional information described below should be reported separately from the others.	<input type="checkbox"/>	see below	
The organization may report the results of contractual instruments for GHG attributes (market based approach), expressed in GHG emissions (tCO2e) as well as in the unit of transfer (e.g. kWh). The organization may report the amount purchased compared to the amount consumed.	<input type="checkbox"/>	RECs were purchased to offset electrical consumption.	
The organization may report offsets or other types of carbon credits. If so, the organization:		Carbon Offsets were used for Scope 1 emissions.	
— shall disclose the GHG scheme under which they were generated;	<input type="checkbox"/>	Described in section 6.3 of the GHGMP (i.e. TSMC Washington will use recognized methodologies outlined in this document to determine Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions. Residual Scope 1 emissions will be offset through the purchases and retirement of carbon offsets.)	
— may add offsets or other types of carbon credits together if they originate from the same GHG scheme and are of appropriate vintage;	<input type="checkbox"/>		n/a
— shall not add or subtract offsets or other types of carbon credits from the organization's inventory of its direct or indirect emissions.	<input type="checkbox"/>		n/a
The organization may report GHGs stored in GHG reservoirs.	<input type="checkbox"/>		n/a
		0	

10 Organization's role in verification activities

10 Organization's role in verification activities	NC	Auditor
The organization may decide to conduct a verification.	<input type="checkbox"/>	AWM has been contracted to conduct an independant verification of RY2024 data.
To review GHG emissions and removals information, impartially and objectively, the organization shall conduct a verification consistent with the needs of the intended user. Principles and requirements are described in ISO 14064-3.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Per AWM's verification program.
Requirements for verification bodies are described in ISO 14065.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Per AWM's verification program.
Requirements for the competence of validation teams and verification teams are described in ISO 14066.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Per AWM's verification program.
		0

Scope	Source	Status	Issue / CA
Risk Analysis			
Scope 1	Process: C2F6	Closed	<p>Why did consumption decrease so much from RY23 to RY24 (>59%)?</p> <p>Production was much lower than in 2023 and our technology mix was also different as we try to meet customer demands. We also shut off several production tools that run the gas.</p>
Scope 1	Process: CF4	Closed	<p>Why did consumption decrease so much from RY23 to RY24 (>49%)?</p> <p>Production was much lower than in 2023 and our technology mix was also different as we try to meet customer demands. We also shut off several production tools that run the gas.</p>
Scope 1	Process: CH2F2	Closed	<p>Why did consumption decrease so much from RY23 to RY24 (>33%)?</p> <p>Production was much lower than in 2023 and our technology mix was also different as we try to meet customer demands. We also shut off several production tools that run the gas.</p>
Scope 1	Process: CHF3	Closed	<p>Why did consumption decrease so much from RY23 to RY24 (>86%)?</p> <p>Production was much lower than in 2023 and our technology mix was also different as we try to meet customer demands. We also shut off several production tools that run the gas.</p>
Scope 1	Process: N2O	Closed	<p>Why did consumption decrease so much from RY23 to RY24 (>71%)?</p> <p>Production was much lower than in 2023 and our technology mix was also different as we try to meet customer demands. We also shut off several production tools that run the gas.</p>
Scope 1	Process: NF3	Closed	<p>Why did consumption decrease so much from RY23 to RY24 (>36%)?</p> <p>Production was much lower than in 2023 and our technology mix was also different as we try to meet customer demands. We also shut off several production tools that run the gas.</p>
Scope 1	Process: SF6	Closed	<p>Why did consumption decrease so much from RY23 to RY24 (>54%)?</p> <p>Production was much lower than in 2023 and our technology mix was also different as we try to meet customer demands. We also shut off several production tools that run the gas.</p>
Scope 1	Process: CH3F	Closed	<p>Why did consumption decrease so much from RY23 to RY24 (125%)?</p> <p>Production was much lower than in 2023 and our technology mix was also different as we try to meet customer demands. We also shut off several production tools that run the gas.</p>
Scope 1	Process: HTF	Closed	<p>Why did consumption decrease so much from RY23 to RY24 (>138%)?</p> <p>Our Etch Equipment Module work to find and repair leaks in the systems that use HTF.</p>

Scope	Source	Status	Issue / CA
Scope 1	Stationary: Diesel	Closed	<p>Why did diesel consumption decrease so much from RY23 to RY24 (>77%)?</p> <p>In 2023 we had one of our main electrical feeds short which caused a power outage requiring our emergency generators to come on for an extended period. One of our generators had to stay on for several days as our electrical team worked hard to fully restore power to the site. This greatly increased our diesel usage in 2023. We did not have a power outage event in 2024 and diesel usage was closer to normal usage.</p>
Calculations			
Scope 1	Natural Gas	Closed	<p>Please provide Natural Gas monthly invoices/utility bills, as evidence of raw data inputs to consumption tracking/calculations spreadsheet.</p> <p>NW Natural invoices included with Apr 2, 2025 email.</p>
Scope 1	Process	Closed	<p>Please provide Subpart I calculation tool or equivalent as evidence of purchasing records (i.e. container size and number).</p> <p>Provided with Apr 2, 2025 email.</p> <p>In past years, a document TSMC WA GHG Usage Evidence included details for for SF6, CF4 and f-HTF usage and heel calculations. Please provide an updated version or similar, with reference to SAP data.</p> <p>Provided with Apr 9, 2025 email.</p>
Site Visit(s)			
none			